UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,867	04/06/2006	Stefan Hein	APP10 P-307	5083
Marcus P Dolce	7590 02/24/200	EXAMINER		
	Cooper De Witt & Litt	BASKIN, JEREMY S		
695 Kenmoor SE Post Office Box 2567 Grand Rapids, MI 49501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/574,867	HEIN, STEFAN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeremy S. Baskin	3753		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-33 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 April 2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to ld accepted or b)☐ objected to ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/06/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 21, Claims 20, 23, 24, and Claims 19, 22, 26, 33 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being substantial duplicates of Claims 16-17, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 14, 31, and 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In Claim 14, the term "the body" in line 8 and the term "edges" in line 10 both lack antecedent basis.
- 5. In Claim 31, line 14, the term "the body" lacks antecedent basis.
- 6. In Claim 32, line 3, the term "the additional chamber" lacks antecedent basis.
- 7. Claims 14-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being dependent on claims that fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 14-24 and 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dupuis (US Pat. No. 3,351,348).
- 10. In regard to Claim 14, Dupuis teaches a housing 20 having an opening 11 that is to be traversed by a flexible band substrate 38. A movable sealing body 30 cooperates with a sealing surface 12-15. In order to close the opening, the movable sealing body clamps down on the edge 15 of sealing surface with the flexible band substrate in between (Figure 2). The sealing surface defines the rectangular opening in a frame-like fashion.
- 11. In regard to Claim 15, the band substrate is forced through the movable sealing body against a sealing edge 15. A tangent of the movable sealing body forms an obtuse angle with longitudinal direction of the substrate (Figure 2).
- 12. In regard to Claims 16 and 21, the movable sealing body has an arcuate contour (32 in Figure 2) and possesses a larger radius than that of the arcuate contour of the sealing surface (col. 3, lines 3-6).
- 13. In regard to Claims 17, 20, 23, and 24, the movable sealing body is provided with an elastically flexible surface material 35.
- 14. In regard to Claims 18, 19, 22, 26, and 33, the movable sealing body comprises a cylindrical roll 32.

Art Unit: 3753

15. In regard to Claim 27, the movable sealing body 30 is rotably mounted via shaft 34.

16. In regard to Claim 28, the movable sealing body is moved between an inactive and a

closed position by a power drive (24, drive mechanism, col. 3, lines 43-45).

17. In regard to Claim 29, the power drive is located at the housing via 25 in Figure 1.

18. In regard to Claim 30, the movable sealing body is firmly tightened against the sealing

surface at a desired level of force by the accumulation of pressure within chamber 17 (col. 3,

lines 50-55).

19. In regard to Claim 31, Dupuis teaches a processing plant (Figure 1) with at least one

evacuable chamber 5, a chamber for winding up or unrolling the substrate (area possessed by

rollers 40), and an opening 11 connecting the two chambers for the substrate to guide through.

An air lock valve 17 is provided at the opening. The air lock valve possesses a housing 20 and

one movable sealing body 30 that clamps onto a sealing surface 12-15 with the substrate 38 in

between. The sealing surface defines the rectangular opening in a frame-like fashion.

20. The movable sealing body 30 and the sealing surface 12-15 can be turned to any chamber

to be ventilated as desired.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

21. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

22. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dupuis in view of

Seminski (US Pat. No. 3,807,058).

Application/Control Number: 10/574,867

Art Unit: 3753

23. Dupuis fails to teach that the sealing surface comprises a planar, flexible material with a wall section in a frame-like fashion in an area surrounding the border of the opening.

Page 5

- 24. Seminki discloses a sealing arrangement for an enclosed chamber. Seminski teaches a sealing surface 3 possessing a wall section 8 that forms a frame-like section in an area surrounding the opening. The seal is tightly connected to the body 2 so as to allow the device to perform its intended function. Seminski teaches that it is known in the art to create the seal out of a flexible material (col. 1, lines 43-45).
- 25. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a flexible seal that forms a frame-like section around the opening in a band processing lock valve, as taught by Seminski, so as to provide a wiping action on at least one surface of the band substrate to clean it as it enters or exits the system.

Conclusion

26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Baer et al. (US Pat. No. 2,952,569) discloses a lock valve with a seal that forms a frame-like cross section around a band substrate. Morrison (US Pat. No. 3,090,134) discloses a lock valve with flexible seals and rollers that form a frame-like cross section around a substrate. Yapel et al. (US Pat. No. 6,576,296) discloses a movable roller for flexible substrate processing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy S. Baskin whose telephone number is (571) 270-7421. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30AM to 5:00PM ET.

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen M. Hepperle/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753

/J. S. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 3753